JOURNAL OF MALAYSIAN AND COMPARATIVE LAW

JURNAL UNDANG-UNDANG

VOLUME 46 (ISSUE 2) 2019

Faculty of Law University of Malaya 50603 Kuala Lumpur MALAYSIA

THE ISSUE MAY BE CITED AS (2019) 46 (2) JMCL

The Journal adopts an open access policy. Articles published in the Journal from its first edition in 1974 to the present (subject to an embargo period of 1 year for the most recent edition) can be viewed and downloaded at https://ejournal.um.edu.my/index.php/JMCL

TO PLACE A SUBSCRIPTION

Full details of subscription rates and back issues:

Journal	Issues per year	Location	Annual Subscription	Single Issue	Previous Issue*
Effective July 2019, the	2	Local	RM 120	RM 65	RM 60
new price for JMCL		Overseas	USD 50	USD 30	USD 25

To place a subscription, please contact:

The Administrative Assistant Journal of Malaysian and Comparative Law Faculty of law University of Malaya 50603 Kuala Lumpur Malaysia

Tel: 03-7967 6509 / 7967 6575 Fax: 03- 7957 3239/7967 6573 Email: jmcl@um.edu.my

*only where available

© Copyright is vested in the Faculty of Law, University of Malaya. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means whatsoever, without prior permission from the Faculty. All enquiries seeking permission to reproduce any part of this publication should be addressed to the Managing Editor.

Contents

2019

ARTICLES

Nur Aqilah binti	Halal Certification for Eateries in Malaysia: Legal Pitfalls	1
Pawancheek	& Possible Solutions	

SHORTER ARTICLES AND NOTES

Ong Yu Jian	Shattering the Legal Bulletproof of Exclusion Clauses: A Discussion of the Landmark Decision in Contract & Banking Law, <i>Anthony Bourke & Anor v CIMB Bank</i> <i>Berhad</i>	
	The Constitutionality of sections 56 and 57 of the Central Bank of Malaysia Act 2009 - <i>JRI Resources Sdn Bhd v</i> <i>Kuwait Finance</i>	35

Editorial Note

We bid farewell to 2019 with this edition which sees two significant notes on Malaysian cases.

The first is *JRI Resources Sdn Bhd v Kuwait Finance*, where the Federal Court delivered a landmark decision on Islamic banking and finance through a majority decision which declared that ss 56 and 57 of the Central Bank of Malaysia Act 2009 was constitutional, and that the *Syariah* Advisory Council's role was merely to ascertain and determine Islamic law, not to decide the case.

The second is *Anthony Bourke & Anor v CIMB Bank Bhd*, where the Federal Court invalidated an all-encompassing exclusion clause in a loan agreement for being contrary to s 29 of the Contracts Act 1950 and the doctrine of public policy which underpins s 29.

Last but not least is Nur Aqilah Pawancheek's interesting analysis of the difficulties surrounding the legal regime of *Halal* certification for the food and beverage industry in Malaysia, where problems abound regarding conflicts of jurisdiction between the Federal and State legislature under the Constitution, compounded by JAKIM's practices in the issuance of *Halal* certificates.

Dr. Sharifah Suhanah Syed Ahmad Executive Editor